
From:
Sent: Sunday, 1 February 2015 12:17 AM
To: redress

Having read your very complicated consultation paper on redress it has left me confused as to its contents and your non conclusion of other forms of redress that have been put forward by myself and others. One consideration was the issue of a gold card that would provide a lasting way of having security for our health during our aging process the other I submitted was a housing loan scheme similar to the one available to kooris . Instead we have a very uncertain complicated document that tells us very little of any certainty indeed. We deserve a national scheme that does not rely on different aspects of the damage done to us and we deserve more than a cap of 65,000 which by my own experience is not widely given. The tasmanian government scheme rarely paid it and my own payment was average according to your scale. This means that my own claim to a national redress scheme taking into account inflation will not be

From:
Sent: Sunday, 1 February 2015 12:23 AM
To: redress

Of any great assistance to the pain and ongoing suffering I experienced in foster care while being a ward of state. I expected something truly fair as I left your offices after my private hearing but I fear this is not to be the case your consultation paper seems to me very very similar of the way I was treated through the tasmanian scheme and I wonder how many of my fellow survivors have lost faith now [REDACTED]
